

Comment on 'Eavesdropping on the ping-pong quantum communication protocol'

Z. J. Zhang

Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics, The Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430071, China

(Dated: February 1, 2008)

Recently Wójcik has analyzed the security of the 'ping-pong' quantum communication protocol[1] in the case of considerable quantum channel losses and accordingly an undetectable eavesdropping scheme and possible improvements on the 'ping-pong' protocol are proposed [2]. This is true. Moreover, according to the analysis on the mutual information I as a function of the transmission efficiency η of the quantum channel, Wójcik concludes that the 'ping-pong' protocol is not secure for $\eta < 60\%$. The aim of this Comment is to point out that the conclusion is not reliable.

From the figure 4 in Wójcik's paper, one can see that when $\eta = 0$, both I_{AB} (the mutual information between two legitimate users, say, Alice as the message sender and Bob as the message receiver) and I_{AE} (the mutual information between Alice and the eavesdropper Eve) are nonzero and I_{AE} is larger than I_{AB} . This means that even if no message is transmitted by Alice, both Eve and Bob still get some information from Alice, and Eve gets more information than Bob. This is unimaginable.

In Wójcik's paper, the theoretical $I_{AE}(I_{AB})$ is always 0.311 (0.189 after the symmetrization). In Wójcik's scheme (a realistic scheme, where the number of the transmitted bits should be finite), due to Eve's attacks, Eve's (Bob's) final bits can not be predicted deterministically. Alternatively, for a certain batch of Alice's bits, provided that Eve's attacks are given, different batches of bits can be obtained by Eve (Bob) with different possibilities in theory. Since the batches of Eve's (Bob's) bits are not unique in theory, and generally speaking, different batches of bits should lead to different mutual information, hence in the scheme the mutual information between Alice and Eve (Bob) should not be unique in theory too. This can be easily verified by a simple example as follows. Let 'u' ('s') be Eve's attack without (with) the symmetry operation. Assume Alice's bits are '100110' and Eve's attacks are 'susuus'. According to Wójcik's scheme, taking advantage of the following conditional probability distributions:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{000}^u = 1, p_{001}^u = p_{010}^u = p_{011}^u = 0, \quad p_{100}^u = p_{101}^u = p_{110}^u = p_{111}^u = 1/4; \\ p_{000}^s = p_{001}^s = p_{010}^s = p_{011}^s = 1/4, \quad p_{111}^s = 1, p_{100}^s = p_{101}^s = p_{110}^s = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

in theory Eve might get any one of the following batches: '100110', '100111', '100100', '100101', '100010', '100011', '100000', '100001', '101100', '101101', '101110', '101111', '101000', '101001', '101010' and '101011'. The possibility, the QBER and the mutual information for each batch of bits are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Possibility, QBER, mutual information for Eve's possible batches of bits.

Eve's bits	possibility	QBER	I_{AE}
'100110'	1/16	0	1
'100111'	1/16	1/6	0.459
'100100'	1/16	1/6	0.459
'100101'	1/16	1/3	0.082
'100010'	1/16	1/6	0.459
'100011'	1/16	1/3	0.082
'100000'	1/16	1/3	0.134
'100001'	1/16	1/3	0.093
'101100'	1/16	1/3	0.082
'101101'	1/16	1/2	0
'101110'	1/16	1/6	0.459
'101111'	1/16	1/3	0.093
'101000'	1/16	1/2	0
'101001'	1/16	2/3	0.082
'101010'	1/16	1/3	0.082
'101011'	1/16	1/2	0

Alice's bits are '100110'. Eve's attacks are 'susuus'.

The transmission efficiency η is assumed to be not greater than 50%.

To summarize, there must be mistakes in Wójcik's calculations of the mutual information. Concluding, the security estimation based on the wrong mutual information is not reliable anymore.

This work is funded by the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No.10304022).

[1] K. Boström and T. Felbinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 187902(2002).

[2] Antoni Wójcik, Phys. Rev. Lett., **90**, 157901(2003).